Section I. TRANSFER REQUEST BY (PRINT NAME) SALURING TO: ATUNIT 2151 Class 380 REASON: No encryptian, Classist 705 and for personally accepted by A.U. 2131 Class 380 Section II a. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC By: William A.U. 2142 Date 1240 ACCEPTED Forward to receiving TC Post Classifier Not accepted by Receiving TC Post Classifier Not accepted by Porward to receiving TC Post Classifier Not accepted by Post Classifier REASON: CLAIMS DEAWN TO ROUTE optimization. Post Classifier 370/328. Section II b. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC POST CLASSIFIER This dispute was resolved. Forward to TC/AU Classifier This dispute was not resolved, forward to DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL Post Classifier Assessment: Gatekeeper Concurrence Post Classifier Date Section III. DISPOSITION BY DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL Post Classifier Date Post Classifier Date Case/Sub Post Classifier Date Case/Sub Panel Decision: Forward to Technology Center/Art Unit Class/Sub Panel Decision: Forward to Technology Center/Art Unit Class/Sub			0 CD CD	FORM PTO-447
Section I. TRANSFER REQUEST BY (PRINT NAME) SALURING TO: ATUNIT 2151 Class 380 REASON: No encryptian, Classist 705 and for personally accepted by A.U. 2131 Class 380 Section II a. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC By: William A.U. 2142 Date 1240 ACCEPTED Forward to receiving TC Post Classifier Not accepted by Receiving TC Post Classifier Not accepted by Porward to receiving TC Post Classifier Not accepted by Post Classifier REASON: CLAIMS DEAWN TO ROUTE optimization. Post Classifier 370/328. Section II b. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC POST CLASSIFIER This dispute was resolved. Forward to TC/AU Classifier This dispute was not resolved, forward to DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL Post Classifier Assessment: Gatekeeper Concurrence Post Classifier Date Section III. DISPOSITION BY DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL Post Classifier Date Post Classifier Date Case/Sub Post Classifier Date Case/Sub Panel Decision: Forward to Technology Center/Art Unit Class/Sub Panel Decision: Forward to Technology Center/Art Unit Class/Sub	Staple to face of Application (Rev. 7-98)	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COM		TRADEMARK OFFICE
TO: ArtUnit 2151 Class/sub 709/005t FROM: AU 2131 Class 380 REASON: No enclyption, Class have paramed t taperly of older taperly of the section II a. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC By. Dillock A.U. 2142 Date 124407 ACCEPTED BY RECEIVING T.C. NOT ACCEPTED BY Forward to receiving TC Post Classifier Non-classification issue/other, return to Originating TC/AU REASON: CLAIMS DEAWN TO ROUTE optimization. Post classifier 370/328. Section II b. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC POST CLASSIFIER This dispute was resolved. Forward to TC/AU Class/Sub Post Classifier Date Concurring Date Concurring Date Section III. DISPOSITION BY DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL Post Classifier Assessment: Gatekceper Concurrence Post Classifier Date Date Post Classifier Date Post Classifier Assessment:		SFER REQUEST FOR	199/986	,602
Gatekeeper concurrence Hand carried: Personally accepted by Section II a. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC By: Date 124402 ACCEPTED BY RECEIVING T.C. NOT ACCEPTED BY Forward to receiving TC Post Classifier Non-classification issue/other, return to Originating TC/AU REASON: CLAIMS DEAMN TO ROUTE optimization. Possible 370/328.	Section I. TRANSFER REQUEST I	BY (PRINT NAME) CBAR	RUN.	Date: 7/5/02
Gatekeeper concurrence Hand carried: Personally accepted by Section II a. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC By: Date 124402 ACCEPTED BY RECEIVING T.C. NOT ACCEPTED BY Forward to receiving TC Post Classifier Non-classification issue/other, return to Originating TC/AU REASON: CLAIMS DEAMN TO ROUTE optimization. Possible 370/328.	TO: Art Unit 2152	Class/sub 709/205	FROM: A.U. 21	31 Class 380
Section II a. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC By: DATE 2 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	REASON:	other, chames have po	monul + fry	parely addies
Section II a. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC By: DATE 2 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		·		
□ ACCEPTED BY RECEIVING T.C. NOT ACCEPTED □ Forward to receiving TC Post Classifier □ Non-classification issue/other, return to Originating TC/AU REASON: □ LAIMS DEAWN TO ROUTE optimization. Possible 370/328. Section II b. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC POST CLASSIFIER □ This dispute was resolved. Forward to TC/AU Class/Sub Post Classifier Date Concurring Date □ This dispute was not resolved, forward to DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL Post Classifier Assessment: Gatekeeper Concurrence Post Classifier Date □ Post Classifier Assessment: Gatekeeper Concurrence Post Classifier Date □ Post Classifier Date □ Date	Gatekeeper concurrence	Hand carried: Per	rsonally accepted by	
REASON: CLAIMS DEAWN TO ROUTE OPTIMIZATION. Possible 370/328. Section II b. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC POST CLASSIFIER This dispute was resolved. Forward to DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL Post Classifier Assessment: Gatekeeper Concurrence Post Classifier Date Gatekeeper Concurrence Post Classifier Date Panel Decision: Forward to Technology Center / Art Unit Class/Sub Class/Sub Date Date Panel Decision: Forward to Technology Center / Art Unit Class/Sub		1	<u> </u>	Date_12/4/02
REASON: CLAIMS DEAWN TO ROUTE optimization. Possible 37D/328. Section II b. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC POST CLASSIFIER This dispute was resolved. Forward to TC/AU Class/Sub Post Classifier Date Concurring Date This dispute was not resolved, forward to DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL Post Classifier Assessment: Gatekeeper Concurrence Post Classifier Date Section III. DISPOSITION BY DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL Panel Decision: Forward to Technology Center / Art Unit Class/sub		_		•
This dispute was resolved. Forward to TC/AUClass/SubPost ClassifierDate ConcurringDate This dispute was not resolved, forward to DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL Post Classifier Assessment: Gatekeeper ConcurrencePost ClassifierDate Section III. DISPOSITION BY DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL Panel Decision: Forward to Technology Center / Art Unit Class/sub	REASON:			•
Concurring Date This dispute was not resolved, forward to DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL Post Classifier Assessment: Gatekeeper Concurrence Post Classifier Date Section III. DISPOSITION BY DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL Panel Decision: Forward to Technology Center / Art Unit Class/sub	Section II b. DISPOSITION BY I	RECEIVING TC POST CLASSIFI	ER	
Concurring Date This dispute was not resolved, forward to DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL Post Classifier Assessment: Gatekeeper Concurrence Post Classifier Date Section III. DISPOSITION BY DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL Panel Decision: Forward to Technology Center / Art Unit Class/sub	☐ This dispute was resolved. Forward to	TC/AU Class/Sub	Post Classifier	Date
Post Classifier Assessment: Gatekeeper Concurrence Post Classifier Date Section III. DISPOSITION BY DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL Date Panel Decision: Forward to Technology Center / Art Unit Class/sub	•			
Section III. DISPOSITION BY DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL Panel Decision: Forward to Technology Center / Art Unit Class/sub	This dispute was not resolved, forward Post Classifier Assessment:	1 to DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL		
Panel Decision: Forward to Technology Center / Art Unit Class/sub	Gatekeeper Concurrence	Post Classifier	·	Date
Panel Decision: Forward to Technology Center / Art Unit Class/sub	Section III. DISPOSITION BY DI	SPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL		Date
.			Class/sub	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	REASON:			erina er Erina erina er

Concurring Panel Member

☐ This application MAY NOT be returned to the dispute resolution panel. THIS IS A FINAL DISPOSITION.